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## Outline

- Aims of Statistics Education
- Through PISA problems as a clue
- Revised Japanese Curriculum Related to Statistics
- Hierarchy of Statistical Literacy
- As a guideline to develop teaching materials
- Some examples of Statistical tasks
- Lesson example of Statistics from Japan



PISA Problems (3)-2
Manufinturw of alam yytems uret the ame data to profuce the following gaph

Nime ficom


Il aw dit the des Ingess marme up with the staph and why?
The poliec were not ton happy with the graph from the aldem whtemp
manufactureis beause the police want to show how successful cime fighting has been
 resently.」



## Content strands in primary school


Course of Study 2008

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y1(4) | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |
| Y2(5) | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Y3(5) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Y4(5) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Y5(5) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Y6(5) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

*Note: Average hours per a week for Math.

## Content strands in higher secondary school grade10(16years old): Math I is compulsory subject

    per a week(A period is 50 minutes) per a week(A period is 50 minutes) \({ }^{11}\)
    Course of Study 2000

- Equation and inequality
- Quadratic Function
- Figure and measurement
- Analysis of data

Course of Study 2009

- Number and expression
- Figure and measurement
- Quadratic Function

| Contents of Statistics on National Curriculum in JAPAN primary(Y1-Y6) and lower secondary(Y7-Y9) school |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contents Curriculum revised year | 1989 | 1999 | 2008 |
| Representation using picture and chart |  |  | Y1 |
| Simple table and graph | Y2 | Y3 | Y2 |
| Bar graph | Y3 | Y3 | Y3 |
| Line graph | Y4 | Y4 | Y4 |
| Pi graph | Y5 | Y5 | Y5 |
| Column graph | Y5 | Y5 | Y5 |
| Average | Y5 (Average of measurement) | Y6 | Y6 |
| Frequency table | Y6 |  | Y6 |
| Possible outcome | Y6 | Y8 | Y6 |
| Frequency table, Histogram | Y8 |  | Y7 |
| Mean, Median, Mode | Y8(Mean only) |  | Y7 |
| Range | Y8 |  | Y7 |
| Relative frequency | Y8 |  | Y7 |
| Approximation | Y8 |  | Y7 |
| Probability | Y9 | Y8 | Y8 |
| Sampling and population | Y9 |  | Y9 |

Aim of each grade's
"Using and Applying of Data"
Y7 Along with the purpose, collect data, organize data by using ICT and interpret those

Y8 Through the investigation of uncertain events, understand probability itself and how to use probability
Y9 $\quad$ Foster the ability to read the tendency of population from sample

## Hierarchy of Statistical Literacy

- Level 1: Idiosyncratic

Students at this level cannot read values or trends in graphs. They fail to connect some features extracted from graphs with context.

- Level 2: Basic Graph Reading

Students at this level can read values and trends in graphs. But they cannot explain contextual meanings of trends or features, which they could see, and can't contextualize events presented

- Level 3: Rational/Literal

Students at this level can read values and trends. They explain contextual meanings literally in terms of features shown in a graph. They cannot

- Level 4: Critical
- Students at this level can read graphs and understand presented contextual Students at this lever can read graphs and understand presented cont
meanings. Still more, they can evaluate the reliability of presented meanings. Still more, they can evaluate the reliability of presen
contextual meaning. They can question information presented.
- Level 5: Hypothesizing and Modelling

Students at this level can read graphs, and accept and evaluate some presented information. They can form their own explanatory hypotheses or models. Aoyama K. (2007). Investigating a hierarchy of students' interpretations of graphs,
International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education, vol. 2, No. 3, pp.298-318

## Apply the Hierarchy as a Guideline

## Level 1: Idiosyncratic

Level 2: Basic Graph Reading
Level 3: Rational/Literal
$\Rightarrow$ Implication Task
Level 4: CriticalCritical Task
Level 5: Hypothesizing and Modelling
Creative Task

From different perspective
$\Rightarrow$
Description Task

## Example of Task① Global Data in 2008

| Country | Amoumt of CO2 <br> emission (million ton) | Population <br> (million) | GDP <br> (billion USS |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Japan | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 1 . 1}$ | 127.8 | $4,879.84$ |
| Korea | 501.3 | 231.6 | 931.41 |
| China | $6,508.2$ | $1,328.6$ | $4,519.95$ |
| United States | $5,595.9$ | 305.8 | $14,291.55$ |
| Canada | 550.9 | 32.9 | $1,502.68$ |
| UK | 510.6 | 60.8 | $2,182.43$ |
| Italia | 430.1 | 58.9 | $2,307.30$ |
| Netherland | 177.9 | 16.4 | 875.27 |
| Spain | 317.6 | 44.3 | $1,601.41$ |
| German | 803.9 | 82.6 | $3,640.73$ |
| France | 368.2 | 61.6 | $2,842.52$ |
| Australia | 397.5 | 20.7 | $1,061.04$ |

- Is Japan "a bad country for environment", because the amount of CO2 emission of Japan is ranked third?

- Can you conclude "Spending more time playing TV game make children more violent"?

Aoyama K. (2007). Investigating a hierarchy of students' interpretations of graphs,
International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education, vol. 2, No. 3, pp.298-318.

## Lesson Example from Japan related to "Description Task"

- The table right shows running time (in hours) of batteries from two companies.
- The table below shows summary statistics of those
- Can you choose which company's battery is better to use.

|  | A company | B company |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number | 100 | 100 |
| Average | 448.1 | 447.9 |
| Median | 448 | 447 |
| Mode | 445 | 445 |
| Min | 423 | 391 |
| Max | 472 | 501 |
| Range | 49 | 110 |



Lesson Example from Japan related to "Description Task"



